

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY BOCKET NO.

09/463,675 05/12/00 BEHLER A H3033

IM52/0116

JOHN E DRACH COGNIS CORPORATION 2500 RENAISSANCE BOULEVARD SUITE 200 GULPH MILLS PA 19406 HARDEE, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 01/16/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No. **09/463,675**

John R. Hardee

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Behler et al.

1751



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) John R. Hardee (2) Atty. Trzaska (4) Date of Interview _______ Jan 12, 2001 🛮 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to 🔲 applicant 🔲 applicant's representative). Type: Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:

Yes
No. If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: All pending Identification of prior art discussed: Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The restriction based on nonionic emulsifiers or softeners was made based on examples in the specification. Examiner offered to withdraw this restriction if applicant were willing to state on record that the nonionic softeners are obvious over one another. This was declined. During the interview, the examiner modified this restriction requirement to include an additional group: fatty acid esters. Response to the restriction requirement should be made with this modification in mind. Peinding claims should have been listed as 15-30 instead of 16-30. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box habove is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

JOHN R. HARDEE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1751